

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/974,915	10/12/2001	Fujio Mori	2001-1552	9963
513 75	590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
	H, LIND & PONACK	RESAN, STEVAN A		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1773	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/974,915	MORI ET AL.			
Onice Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Stevan A. Resan	1773			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M	arch 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7,8 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/974,915

Art Unit: 1773

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8 March 2004 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,3-6,9,11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Enlow et al WO 96/40480.

See pg 8 lines 16-38; pg 9 lines 27-29; Pg 11 lines 14-22; pg 12 lines 12-34;pg 13 lines 2-6;pg 14 lines 8-10;pg 18 lines 16-19;pg 36 lines 15-16; Fig 6.

The examiner points out that some apparent claim limitations are meaningless and therefore not given weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,915

Art Unit: 1773

Claims 1-3: "is to be set" and "for being integrally bonded to a surface of a molding resin" are statements of intended use. A molding resin or molded part having the foil-decorating sheet bonded to it is not part of the claimed structure and therefore any value of the peel strength at the interface is meaningless.

In Claims 3 -5 a tensile elongation at break or elongation is claimed. However elongation is a function of the cross sectional area of the test specimen (i.e. a volumetric property). While applicants have defined the length and width of the test specimen there is no indication of the thickness. For other tensile properties this is usually reflected in the value "psi" (or the metric equivalent) where the cross sectional area has already been factored into the calculation (i.e. cross sectional area in square inches). Also since elongation depends on the viscoelastic properties of the polymer it is rate dependent and will differ depending upon the jaw speed. Claim 5 claims unit area but not thickness.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellison et al 5,536, 539 in view of de Jong et al 5,348,999.

Ellison et al has been cited in prior actions. Ellison et al does not describe the use of transparent polycarbonate in the laminate. However De Jong teaches the use of a transparent polycarbonate film. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the film of de Jong et al since Ellison teaches that any polymeric material may be used and de Jong teaches that their claimed compound improves interlaminar bonding.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,915

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

6. Claims 7, 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached at 571-272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER